

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates.

It seems to me that the American people mainly get their news from the networks, and from the 24 hour news stations. Those are owned by 5 large companies. I think it's safe to say that these companies may be loathe to report on subjects that may be damaging to them. Yes, there are many web sites available, but using this as a determination of diversity disenfranchises the poor, who are less likely to have computer, or even cable, access. As a part owner of the public airwaves, I would like the regulations on ownership kept as they are, if not strengthened. We cannot afford to have the 4th Estate hijacked by a very small, select group, no matter what their intentions may be. Since a TRULY free press is essential to democracy, I look forward to your prompt action in first discovering what the "public interest" is, and then taking great pains to preserve it.  
Thank you.